	Forum: United Nations Environmental Programme Governing Council		
	Question of: Assessing Genetic Engineering Regarding its Consequences for Agriculture and Nutrition		
	Submitted by: Japan		
	Co-submitted by: Albania, Australia, Belarus, Benin, Botswana, Brazil, Bolivia, Cambodia, Canada, Chile, China, Congo, Denmark, Dominican Republic, DPR Korea, DR Congo, Honduras, India, Malawi, Malaysia, Mexico, Niger, Oman, Romania, Singapore, South Sudan, USA, Vietnam		
1 2	The United Nations Environmental Programme Governing Council,		
3 4	<i>Guided</i> by the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) to treat and end, inter alia, malnutrition, child and maternal mortality, as well as to promote education for everyone,		
5 6 7	<i>Recognizing</i> the growing importance of genetic engineering in agriculture and the enormous progress in its technological development,		
8 9 10	<i>Concerned</i> by the widespread refusal to implement genetically modified organisms (GMOs) in many countries,		
11 12	Bearing in mind the potential negative effects on the environment caused by genetic		
13 14 15	engineering, <i>Recalling</i> that in over two decades of commercial use, no negative side effects on human health		
16 17	due to the process of genetic engineering have occurred,		
18 19	Acknowledging that long-term-studies are not yet available because of the novelty of the process,		
20 21 22 23	<i>Realizing</i> with deep concern the monopoly of a small group of trans national companies (TNCs) on the market of GM-seeds,		
23 24 25 26	<i>Noting further</i> the huge improvement of the method of GM concerning accuracy, speed of the process, increased nutrition and further possibilities in comparison to traditional breeding,		
26 27	Alarmed by more than 795,000,000 starving people worldwide,		

28		
29	Pointi	ng out the new opportunities genetic engineering can contribute in the effort of
30	decre	asing and eradicating starvation and malnutrition,
31		
32	1)	Supports international communication and cooperation in order to develop a broad
33		agreement in unity regarding GMO;
34		
35	2)	Proposes to establish an independent FAO sub-institution which is in charge of
36		encouraging the labelling of genetically modified products according to the two separate
37		standards:
38		 a) Animal products are to be labelled if GMOs were used in production,
39		b) Crops and processed foods are to be labelled if GMOs were used in production;
40		
41	3)	Proposes a comprehensive analysis conducted by the International Centre of Genetic
42		Engineering and Biotechnology (ICGEB) of GMO's before their approval in order to
43		a) ensure their safety in food and animal feed,
44		b) evaluate their effects on environment, biodiversity and animals,
45		c) minimize risks for human health;
46		
47	4)	<u>Suggests</u> that only varieties, which are to be approved for release and notified in the
48		variety list mentioning allergens continuously updated by the ICGEB, are to be sold on
49		the world market;
50	۲)	P ropose to how only a Distochardow Desculations through the MTO to see
51 52	5)	Proposes to harmonize Biotechnology Regulations through the WTO to ease
52 53		international trade in this sector;
55 54	6)	Encourages all nations to conduct extensive tests and continuing reviews to monitor the
54 55	0)	operative clauses 2 and 3;
56		
57	7)	Urges all member states to transpose operative clauses 2 and 3 into their respective
58	- /	national law;
59		,
60	8)	Recommends all member states to pass a national law that enables serious and
61		mandatory measures in case of a violation of operative clause 2 such as, but not limited
62		to,
63		a) re-import, if imported,
64		b) destruction of the product,
65		c) immediate processing to non-food use,
66		d) high monetary penalties for false labelling;
67		
68	9)	Hopes for all nations and companies to support and embrace new approved GMO-
69		solutions to combat the problem of starvation and malnutrition all over the world via
70		a) provision of humanitarian assistance,

71	b) assistance in investing foreign investors-farmers in the food industry by
72	allocation:
73	i. subsidies
74	ii. benefits on payment of taxes,
75	iii. regional and inter-regional support programmes taking into account
76	economic, religious, educational and cultural characteristics of the region
77	c) undertaking efforts to make research and development more collaborative;
78	
79	10) <u>Calls</u> for the countries as well as the ICGEB and the WTO to collect verified and extensive
80	data on the usage and yields of GMOs to develop an improved strategy including
81	a. Technical capacity,
82	b. Infrastructure, and,
83	c. Financial resources,
84	d. Policy capacity,
85	e. Regulatory capacity,
86	f. IPR policies,
87	g. Project impacts,
88	h. Product pipeline data,
89	 Public attitudes and perceptions about biotechnology;
90	
91	11) Requests the founding of an UN-taskforce by the UNEP to monitor, support and report
92	about further development of GMOs in order to
93	a. Increase information availability of GM seed-production, and thereby
94	b. Decrease production and retail costs in order to break monopolies;
95	
96	12) Designates that the results from operative clause 8 should be published in order to
97	establish a dialogue between the public and the governmental authorities;
98	
99	13) Proposes national governments to plan and conduct information campaigns in order to
100	reduce the incredulity and refusal in their populations, as well as improving the dialogue
101	on the topic of GMOs in many countries by reaching out to for example, but not limited
102	to:
103	a. The electric and print media
104	b. civil society
105	c. religious communities;
106	
107	14) Calls for educational programs funded and conceptualized by the United Nations and its
108	specialized agencies (such as, but not limited to the WHO, FAO, WFP, ICGEB)
109	a. for farmers using GMO's to reduce the risk of using GMOs wrongly and to
110	increase their productivity,
111	b. for local universities and research institutes,
112	c. increasing the self-sustainability of lesser economically developed countries
113	(LEDCs);
114	
•	

115	15) Supports any further ideas, measures and strategies which can be helpful in the issue;
116	
117	16) <u>Decides</u> to stay seized on the matter;
118	
119	17) <u>Encourages</u> dialogue between member states and their neighbours planning the
120	cultivation of GMOs near international borders to ensure pollen will not spread to
121	conventionally grown plants of countries that do not want to use GMOs;
122	
123	18) Recommends the member states to differentiate between standard and genetically
124	modified seeds, in order to avoid monopolies on GMOs;
125	
126	19) Calls for the UN and its specialized institutions to work towards spreading cheap and safe
127	GM-seeds as well as promoting additional sustainable farming methods, such as but not
128	limited to agroforest techniques, in order to secure the livelihoods of people threatened
129	by famine and/or malnutrition, wherever they may live, if this coincides with local
130	national law.